

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/552,949	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OMARY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Carla Myers	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634	

**All Participants:**

(1) Carla Myers.

(2) Pamela Sherwood.

**Date of Interview:** 15 July 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that Applicant's arguments in the Appeal Brief were persuasive to overcome the previous enablement rejection. The examiner faxed Applicant's representative a proposed examiner's amendment. It was indicated that the amendment included the addition of new claim 16 and amended claim 15 to recite methods that further detect 5 of the keratin K8 mutations which have priority to provisional application 60/462,989. Applicant's representative authorized the attached examiner's amendment..